

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JOE JW ROBERTS, JR,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIM THRASHER, et al.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-00376-RSM-BAT

**ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO
MARK DOCKET #43 AS
WITHDRAWN, GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
EXTENSION, AND DIRECTING
SERVICE**

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's motions to file an amended complaint and defendants' motion for an extension of time to file an answer. Dkts. 43, 72, 74. On September 28, 2020, plaintiff moved to amend his complaint but failed to submit a full copy of his proposed amended complaint. Dkt. 43. The Court directed plaintiff to provide the missing pages of his proposed amended complaint and indicated it would rule on the motion to amend when plaintiff had done so. Dkt. 73. Instead, plaintiff filed a new motion to amend his complaint. Dkt. 74. It appears based upon plaintiff's filings that he intends to withdraw his previously filed motion to amend, which the Court had not yet ruled upon, and for the Court to instead consider his newly filed motion to amend and proposed amended complaint.

Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to mark plaintiff's first motion to amend (Dkt. 43) as

WITHDRAWN.

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 1

1 The Court will now turn to the merits of plaintiff's newly filed motion to amend (Dkt.
 2 74). Defendants do not oppose plaintiff's motion to amend but ask that the Court screen the
 3 amended complaint noting that the Court had previously dismissed several claims which were
 4 duplicative of plaintiff's claims in other pending actions. Dkt. 75. Because defendants have not
 5 yet filed a responsive pleading plaintiff may amend his complaint as a matter of course. *See*
 6 Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Accordingly, plaintiff's newly filed motion to amend (Dkt. 74) is
 7 GRANTED except to the extent that it seeks to add any claims which were previously dismissed
 8 as duplicative of claims raised in other pending actions (*see* Dkts. 24, 28). The Clerk is directed
 9 to docket plaintiff's proposed amended complaint (Dkt. 74-1) as the amended complaint in this
 10 action.

11 In light of plaintiff's motions to amend the complaint, defendants also moved for an
 12 extension of time to file their answers and request that the answers of the newly named
 13 defendants and the existing defendants be due on the same date. Dkts. 72, 75. Defendants'
 14 motion (Dkt. 72) is GRANTED. Defendants' answers will all be due on **February 19, 2021**, as
 15 described below.

16 The Court further orders:

17 a. Service by Clerk

18 Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at Monroe Correctional Complex-Reformatory (WSR)
 19 and is subject to Mandatory Electronic E-Filing pursuant to General Orders 02-15 and 06-16.
 20 The Clerk is directed to send the following to the **newly named** defendants listed below by e-
 21 mail: copies of plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Dkt. 74-1), this Order, the notice of lawsuit and
 22 request for waiver of service of summons, and a waiver of service of summons:

23 ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
 DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
 GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
 AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
 AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 2

1	Defendants	
2	Eric Burt	grievance coordinator WSP
3	H. Griffith	grievance coordinator WSP
4	James Rogers	WSP classification counselor
5	David Brower	WSP lieutenant
6	S. Sundberg	DOC employee
7	Paree Farr	WSP nurse
8	D. French	custodial unit supervisor WCW - IMU
9	Sherry Pendergrass	MCC-SOU-ITU classification counselor
10	Daniel W. White	superintendent WCC
11	Department of Corrections	
12	Lee Rome	chief psychiatry DOC
13	Lee Sowers	chief psychologist DOC
14	Adam Kolowinski	WSP Sgt. in infirmary 3/20-5/20
15	Jonathan Reyes Hugo	WSP Physician's Assistant
16	Paul McDole	WSP Corrections Officer
17	Ronald Benjamin	WSP Corrections Officer
18	James Nauschwander	WSP Corrections Officer
19	Jill Ansorge	WSP Corrections Officer
20	Cody Havens	WSP Corrections Officer
21	Dakota Hayes	WSP Corrections Officer
22	Kevin Dahlby	WSP Corrections Officer

23 ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 3

Cynthia Mason	Psychologist MCC
---------------	------------------

The Court declines to direct service against “John Doe” at this time as that individual has not been sufficiently identified.

b. Previously Served/Existing Defendants and Terminated Defendants

The Court notes that the following defendants were previously served and are named again in the amended complaint and thus remain as defendants in the action:

Tim Thrasher	DOC Housing Coordinator Director
Karie Rainer	Director Mental Health DOC
Scott Russell	Prisons Command Director
Crystal Contreras	WSP – supervisor Mental Health staff
Donald Holbrook	WSP Superintendent
Arben Kullejka	MCC – custody unit supervisor
Todd Saunders	MCC – classification counselor
Allison Windows	WSP – legal liaison
Susan Hussey	WSP - nurse
Kathy Jackson	WSP - nurse
Lindsay McIntyre	MCC – psych associate
Vilma Khounphixay	MCC – psych associate
Valerie Herrington	MCC – psych associate
Hailee Jiminez	MCC – psych associate
Rachael Symons	MCC – psych associate
Nicolette Phillips	WSP – psych associate

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 4

Lisa Robtoy	WSP – psych associate
Scott Buttice	WSP custody unit supervisor
Joshua Slater	WSP psych associate

The Clerk is directed to **terminate** the following defendants from the docket as they are no longer named as defendants in the amended complaint:

Bruce Gage	MCC – supervisor MH staff
Jack Warner	MCC – supervisor/supt
Lisa Anderson	MCC – assoc supt
Chris Bowman	WSP – assoc supt
Katrina Suckow	WSP – custody unit supervisor
Patricia Zeisler	MCC – psych ass
Steven Jewitt	MCC – psych
Dan Sneweisser	MCC - psych
Jane Does 1-5	MCC - nurses
John Does 1-4	MCC - nurses

c. Response Required

The **newly named** (previously unserved) defendants listed above shall have **thirty (30) days** within which to return the enclosed waiver of service of summons.

A defendant who fails to timely return the signed waiver will be personally served with a summons and complaint, and may be required to pay the full costs of such service, pursuant to Rule 4(d)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A defendant who has been personally served shall file an answer or motion permitted under Rule 12 within **twenty-one (21) days** after service.

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 5

1 **All defendants named in the amended complaint** must serve their answers to the
 2 complaint or motions permitted under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, **on or**
 3 **before February 19, 2021.**

4 d. Filing and Service by Parties, Generally

5 All attorneys admitted to practice before this Court are required to file documents
 6 electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Counsel are directed to the Court's website,
 7 www.wawd.uscourts.gov, for a detailed description of the requirements for filing via CM/ECF.
 8 Plaintiff shall file all documents electronically. All filings must indicate in the upper right hand
 9 corner the name of the magistrate judge to whom the document is directed.

10 Any document filed with the Court must be accompanied by proof that it has been served
 11 upon all parties that have entered a notice of appearance in the underlying matter. Plaintiffs shall
 12 indicate the date the document is submitted for e-filing as the date of service.

13 e. Motions, Generally

14 Any request for court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served.
 15 Pursuant to LCR 7(b), any argument being offered in support of a motion shall be submitted as a
 16 part of the motion itself and not in a separate document. The motion shall include in its caption
 17 (immediately below the title of the motion) a designation of the date the motion is to be noted for
 18 consideration upon the Court's motion calendar.

19 Stipulated and agreed motions, motions to file over-length motions or briefs, motions for
 20 reconsideration, joint submissions pursuant to the option procedure established in LCR 37(a)(2),
 21 motions for default, requests for the clerk to enter default judgment, and motions for the court to
 22 enter default judgment where the opposing party has not appeared shall be noted for

23 ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
 DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
 GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
 AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
 AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 6

1 consideration on the day they are filed. *See* LCR 7(d)(1). All other non-dispositive motions shall
 2 be noted for consideration no earlier than the third Friday following filing and service of the
 3 motion. *See* LCR 7(d)(3). All dispositive motions shall be noted for consideration no earlier than
 4 the fourth Friday following filing and service of the motion. *Id.*

5 For electronic filers, all briefs and affidavits in opposition to either a dispositive or non-
 6 dispositive motion shall be filed and served not later than 11:59 p.m. on the Monday
 7 immediately preceding the date designated for consideration of the motion.

8 The party making the motion may electronically file and serve not later than 11:59 p.m.
 9 on the date designated for consideration of the motion, a reply to the opposing party's briefs and
 10 affidavits.

11 f. Motions to Dismiss and Motions for Summary Judgment

12 Parties filing motions to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 13 Procedure and motions for summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 14 Procedure should acquaint themselves with those rules. As noted above, these motions shall be
 15 noted for consideration no earlier than the fourth Friday following filing and service of the
 16 motion.

17 Defendants filing motions to dismiss based on a failure to exhaust or motions for
 18 summary judgment are advised that they **MUST** serve a *Rand* notice concurrently with motions to
 19 dismiss based on a failure to exhaust and motions for summary judgment so that *pro se* prisoner
 20 plaintiffs will have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required of them in order to
 21 oppose those motions. *Woods v. Carey*, 684 F.3d 934, 941 (9th Cir. 2012). The Ninth Circuit has
 22 set forth model language for such notices:

23 ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
 DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
 GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
 AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
 AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 7

1 A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules
2 of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

3 Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for
4 summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted
5 when there is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is
6 no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your
7 case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to
8 judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party
9 you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
10 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you
11 cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, **you must
12 set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to
13 interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in
14 Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's
15 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine
16 issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own
17 evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may
18 be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your
19 case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.**

20 *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (emphasis added).

21 Defendants who fail to file and serve the required *Rand* notice on plaintiff may have their
22 motion stricken from the Court's calendar with leave to re-file.

23 g. Direct Communications with District Judge or Magistrate Judge

No direct communication is to take place with the District Judge or Magistrate Judge with
regard to this case. All relevant information and papers are to be directed to the Clerk.

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to the parties.

DATED this 18th day of December, 2020.



BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MARK
DOCKET #43 AS WITHDRAWN,
GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION,
AND DIRECTING SERVICE - 8